



Kosovo: Current Issues and U.S. Policy

Steven Woehrel

Specialist in European Affairs

April 12, 2010

Congressional Research Service

7-5700

www.crs.gov

RS21721

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 12 APR 2010		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2010 to 00-00-2010	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Kosovo: Current Issues and U.S. Policy				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Congressional Research Service, Library of Congress, 101 Independence Ave, SE, Washington, DC, 20540-7500				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 13	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Summary

On February 17, 2008, Kosovo declared its independence from Serbia. On February 18, the United States recognized Kosovo as an independent state. Of the 27 EU countries, 22 have recognized Kosovo, including key countries such as France, Germany, Britain, and Italy. Serbia and Russia have heatedly objected to the recognition of Kosovo's independence. Independent Kosovo faces many challenges, including its relations with Serbia and Serbs in Kosovo, as well as weak institutions, an underdeveloped economy, and the impact of the global financial crisis.

Vice President Joseph Biden visited Kosovo on May 21, 2009, after stops in Bosnia and Serbia the previous two days. He received a hero's welcome in Kosovo, where he declared that the "success of an independent Kosovo" is a U.S. "priority."

Contents

Kosovo’s Declaration of Independence.....	1
The “Ahtisaari Plan”	1
International Role in Kosovo.....	2
KFOR.....	3
Serbian Opposition to Independence	4
Partition of Kosovo?	6
Kosovo’s Other Challenges	6
U.S. Policy.....	8
Congressional Concerns	9

Contacts

Author Contact Information	10
----------------------------------	----

Kosovo's Declaration of Independence

On February 17, 2008, Kosovo declared its independence from Serbia, sparking celebration among the country's ethnic Albanians, who form 92% of the country's population. Serbia and the Kosovo Serb minority heatedly objected to the declaration and refused to recognize it. Serbia continues to view Kosovo as a province of Serbia.

The United States recognized Kosovo's independence on February 18. At present, 65 countries have recognized Kosovo. Of the 27 EU countries, 22 have recognized Kosovo, including key countries such as France, Germany, Britain, and Italy. Five EU countries—Greece, Cyprus, Slovakia, Romania, and Spain—have expressed opposition to Kosovo's independence. These countries are either traditional allies of Serbia, or have minority populations for whom they fear Kosovo independence could set an unfortunate precedent, or both. Kosovo joined the International Monetary Fund and World Bank in June 2009. Russia has strongly opposed Kosovo's independence. Russian opposition will likely block Kosovo's membership in the United Nations for the foreseeable future, due to Russia's veto power in the U.N. Security Council. Kosovo seeks to eventually join the European Union and NATO, although this is at best a distant prospect, due to the non-recognition of Kosovo by several NATO and EU states, as well as the country's poverty and weak institutions.

The "Ahtisaari Plan"

When it declared independence, Kosovo pledged to implement the Comprehensive Proposal for the Kosovo Status Settlement, drafted by U.N. envoy Martti Ahtisaari. The provisions of the plan have been incorporated into Kosovo's new constitution, which went into effect on June 15, 2008. The status settlement calls for Kosovo to become an independent country, supervised by the international community.¹ Under the plan, Kosovo has the right to conclude international agreements and join international organizations. It has the right to set up its own "security force" and intelligence agency. However, Kosovo is not permitted to merge with another country or part of another country.

The document contains provisions aimed at safeguarding the rights of ethnic Serbs (who currently make up an estimated 5.3% of Kosovo's population of 2.1 million, according to the Statistical Office of Kosovo) and other minorities (about 2.7% of the population). The plan calls for six Serbian-majority municipalities to be given expanded powers over their own affairs. They will have the right to form associations with each other and receive transparent funding from Belgrade. Local police will be part of the Kosovo Police Service, but their composition would have to correspond to the local ethnic mix and the local police commander would be chosen by the municipality. Central government bodies and the judiciary will also have to reflect Kosovo's ethnic composition. Kosovo's constitution and laws will have to guarantee minority rights. Laws of special interest to ethnic minorities can only be approved if a majority of the minority representatives in the parliament votes for them. The plan includes measures for the protection of Serbian religious and cultural sites and communities in Kosovo.

¹ Ahtisaari's report to Secretary General Ban Ki-Moon on the plan can be found at http://www.un.org/Docs/sc/unsc_presandsg_letters07.htm.

An International Civilian Representative (ICR), heading an International Civilian Office (ICO), oversees Kosovo's implementation of the plan. The ICR was chosen by an International Steering Group of key countries, including the United States. The ICR also serves as EU Representative in Kosovo. The first ICR is Pieter Feith of The Netherlands. The ICR is the final authority on the implementation of the settlement, and has the power to void any decisions or laws he deems to be in violation of the settlement, as well as the power to remove Kosovo government officials who act in a way that is inconsistent with the settlement. The ICR's mandate will last until the International Steering Group determines that Kosovo has implemented the settlement.

EULEX, a mission of over 2,500 persons (over 1,600 international) under the EU's European Security and Defense Policy (ESDP), monitors and advises the Kosovo government on all issues related to the rule of law, specifically the police, courts, customs officials, and prisons. It has the ability to assume "limited executive powers" to ensure that these institutions work effectively, as well as to intervene in specific criminal cases, including by referring them to international judges and prosecutors. The United States is providing 80 police officers and up to 8 judges to EULEX.²

International Role in Kosovo

Since Kosovo's declaration of independence, one key concern has been how the EU-led missions detailed in the Ahtisaari plan relate to the existing U.N. Mission in Kosovo (UNMIK). From 1999 until 2006, UNMIK administered Kosovo under the terms of U.N. Security Council Resolution 1244. It gradually ceded many competencies to the Kosovo government. The Ahtisaari plan foresaw the withdrawal of UNMIK. However, as the plan was not adopted by the U.N. Security Council, due to Russian objections, UNMIK appeared to have no legal basis for withdrawing.

To deal with this problem, U.N. Secretary General Ban Ki-Moon announced to the Security Council on June 12, 2008, that he would "reconfigure" the U.N. mission, sharply reducing its size and tasks. UNMIK would be limited largely to monitoring, reporting, and facilitating communication between the various parties. Ban noted that the EU would play a larger operational role in Kosovo, particularly in the area of the rule of law. Nevertheless, the Secretary General did not lay out a specific formula for the relationship between UNMIK and the EU-led institutions, saying merely that they would take place "under the umbrella" of the United Nations.³

The issue of relations between UNMIK and EULEX contributed to lengthy delays in the deployment of the EU mission. Serbia and Russia, Serbia's ally on the U.N. Security Council, were opposed to EULEX because it was part of the Ahtisaari plan. The issue was resolved by a six-point plan agreed to by Serbia and U.N. Secretary General Ban Ki-Moon. The plan retains formal U.N. control over police and courts in Serbian-majority areas. Serbia will also be consulted on other key issues in Kosovo such as customs, transportation and infrastructure, and the protection of Serbian patrimony.⁴ Kosovo formally rejected the plan, seeing it as infringing on

² "Signing of European Union Rule of Law Mission (EULEX) Agreement," October 22, 2008, from the State Department website, <http://www.state.gov>.

³ For the text of the reconfiguration plan, see the U.N. Security Council website at <http://www.un.org/Docs/sc/sgrep08.htm>.

⁴ For a text of the six points see Annex 1 of U.N. Security Council document S/2008/354, June 12, 2008 from the U.N. website at <http://www.un.org/Docs/sc/sgrep08.htm>.

its sovereignty and a step toward the partition of Kosovo. However, it did not try to block its implementation, after the EU and the United States urged it to refrain from doing so in the interests of improving security throughout the country. The U.N. Security Council agreed to EULEX's deployment in November 2008, and EULEX began operations throughout Kosovo on December 9, 2008. Due to the six-point plan and the lack of unanimity within the EU on Kosovo's independence, EULEX functions as a "status-neutral" organization, providing assistance on rule-of-law to local authorities without endorsing or rejecting Kosovo's independence.

In January 2010, ICR Pieter Feith prepared a draft "Strategy for the North of Kosovo." This strategy calls for the cultivation of "moderate" Serb leaders in northern Kosovo, the opening of a Kosovo government office in an ethnically mixed neighborhood in the north, the closure of UNMIK, and many other initiatives with the goal of integrating the north and marginalizing Serbian parallel institutions by the end of this year. Serbia and local Serb leaders strongly rejected the plan.

The European Union quickly distanced itself from the strategy, despite the fact that Feith is also EU Special Representative in Kosovo. EU officials privately point out that some of the steps proposed by Feith are similar to the EU's own initiatives, but that the strategy's explicit goal of restoring Kosovo's control over the north by the end of 2010 is something that a divided EU cannot support (and might be considered wildly unrealistic.)⁵

In November 2009, the EU appointed Italian ambassador to Kosovo Michael Giffoni as EU "Facilitator for the North." Giffoni has said that Feith's dual role may have hampered his ability to deal with northern Kosovo. Giffoni has stressed a step-by-step approach without formal plans or deadlines under which the EU would work with local leaders in the north on issues such as economic development and rule-of-law while avoiding the status question. The EU has opened an "EU House" in the north to inform local residents about the EU. The EU hopes to start a water supply project and build a health care center in the north to show local people the benefits of cooperation with the EU.⁶

KFOR

KFOR, the NATO-led peacekeeping force in Kosovo, had 9,923 troops in the country in February 2010, of which 1,480 were U.S. soldiers.⁷ KFOR has the role of ensuring the overall security of Kosovo, while leaving policing duties to local authorities and EULEX. KFOR also plays the leading role in overseeing the training of the 2,500-strong Kosovo Security Force (KSF) called for by the Ahtisaari plan. NATO and the United States are providing assistance and training to the new force, which possesses small arms, but not heavy weapons such as artillery and tanks.

At a June 2009 NATO defense ministers' meeting, the Alliance agreed to gradually reduce KFOR's size to a "deterrent presence." The ministers decided that the reduction is justified by the

⁵ "EULEX Still Making Efforts to be Function in the North," *Koha Ditore*, March 25, 2010 as translated by the Open Source Center.

⁶ "Giffoni: We Will Cooperate with Everyone in the North," *Koha Ditore* newspaper, March 29, 2010, as translated by the Open Source Center.

⁷ "KFOR Placemat," February 26, 2010, from the KFOR website, at http://www.nato.int/kfor/structur/nations/placemap/kfor_placemat.pdf.

improved security situation in Kosovo. The decision may have also been provoked by the strains on member states' resources posed by deployments to Afghanistan and other places, as well as by the global economic crisis.

Further troop cuts, including cuts in U.S. forces, are planned for later this year. Press reports claim that KFOR could be cut to 5,700 by the end of 2010 and to 2,300 in 2011, if conditions in Kosovo permit.⁸ In August 2009, incoming NATO Secretary General Anders Rasmussen expressed hope that KFOR could be entirely withdrawn during his four-year term. Kosovar Albanian leaders have not expressed alarm at the planned KFOR reduction. They would like to see the KSF gradually assume responsibility for Kosovo's security, with continuing assistance from the Alliance to prepare the country for eventual NATO membership. In contrast, some Serbs in Kosovo and Serbia criticized the NATO decision, saying that it would further weaken the security of the Serbian population in Kosovo.

Serbian Opposition to Independence

Serbia and Kosovo Serbs have sharply rejected Kosovo's independence as illegitimate. After Kosovo's declaration of independence, Belgrade temporarily downgraded diplomatic relations with the United States and other countries that recognized Kosovo. Serbian officials refuse to participate in regional and other international meetings when Kosovar delegations are invited without being under the aegis of UNMIK. Serbia has tried to strengthen its control over areas of Kosovo in which Serbs are a majority by maintaining its own governing institutions in them.

In the weeks after independence, Serbian mobs in northern Kosovo attacked U.N., EU, and Kosovo government property and personnel. In the worst incident, on March 17, 2008, rioters in the northern town of Mitrovica attacked U.N. police with rocks, Molotov cocktails, and grenades. One U.N. policeman was killed, more than 60 U.N. police and about 30 KFOR troops were hurt, as were 70 rioters. U.N. officials said they had proof that the Serbian government played a key role in instigating the violence. Serbia warned Kosovo Serbs against cooperating with the EU-led missions in Kosovo or otherwise helping to implement the Ahtisaari plan. After Serbia's May 11, 2008, parliamentary and local elections (in which Serbs in Kosovo participated, despite UNMIK's objections), Kosovo Serb leaders in northern Kosovo began to set up their own local institutions, including a parliament.

Serbia's current government, elected by the Serbian parliament on July 7, 2008, is led by the pro-Western Democratic Party, but also includes the Socialist Party, once led by indicted war criminal Slobodan Milosevic. The government has made clear that it will continue to use diplomatic means to oppose Kosovo's independence. Serbia won a striking diplomatic victory when the U.N. General Assembly voted on October 8, 2008, to refer the question of the legality of Kosovo's declaration of independence to the International Court of Justice (ICJ). A decision on the case is expected later this year. The ICJ case appears to have discouraged some countries from extending diplomatic recognition to Kosovo, as Serbia hoped.

The Serbian government initially condemned U.N. Secretary General Ban Ki-Moon's June 2008 plan to reconfigure UNMIK, but later agreed to the six-point plan that permitted UNMIK's reconfiguration and EULEX's deployment in northern Kosovo in December 2008. Local courts in

⁸ Agence France Presse wire service dispatch, June 11, 2009.

Mitrovica, closed as a result of the March 2008 riots, were reopened under U.N. auspices in October 2008. Two customs posts between Serbia and Kosovo, burned by rioters after independence, were reopened with EULEX personnel acting under UNMIK auspices in December 2008. EULEX claims that the restoration of the customs posts has led to a sharp decrease in smuggling.

There has been improvement in relations between EULEX and Belgrade in some areas. In July 2009, over 300 Serbs agreed to return to the Kosovo Police Service, although Belgrade insists that they be placed in a separate chain of command so that they would not report to the Kosovo government. In September 2009, EULEX signed a police cooperation agreement with Serbia. Kosovar leaders expressed opposition to the agreement, viewing the fact that the Kosovo government was cut out of the negotiations as an infringement on their country's sovereignty. By increasing cooperation with EULEX, Serbia may improve its relations with the EU, which it has applied to join.

In an October 2009 report on Serbia's progress toward becoming a potential EU membership candidate, the European Commission commended Serbia for its "first steps" in cooperation with EULEX, but warned that more efforts were needed. EULEX has been trying to restore full operations at the court in northern Mitrovica, the main city in the Serbian-controlled north. Currently, EULEX prosecutors and local administrative personnel are working there on case files. However, progress has not been made on returning local Serb and ethnic Albanian prosecutors and judges to the court so that a backlog of serious cases can be tried. One stumbling block has been which law will be applied: Serbian or Kosovar. Another has been disagreement on finding judges acceptable both to Serbia and the Kosovo government.

Although the overall security situation in Kosovo has improved since the period right after independence, outbreaks of violence continue to occur in Mitrovica, where Serbian extremists and organized crime groups are plentiful. Serbia continues to subsidize the Mitrovica region, in order to keep as many Serbs in the impoverished region as possible.

Kosovo held local elections in November and December 2009, including for most of the Serbian-majority municipalities foreseen in the Ahtisaari plan. In some areas significant numbers of Kosovo Serbs participated in the vote. For example, turnout was 23.62% in Gracanica, where the Independent Liberal Party of Bojan Stojanovic won a majority.⁹ While this turnout was much lower than in ethnic Albanian-majority areas, it should be noted that the Serbian government strongly urged Serbs to not participate in the election. The turnout may express dissatisfaction among Serbs in enclaves that are surrounded by ethnic Albanian-majority regions (who make up over half of the Serbian population in Kosovo), that Serbia is not giving them sufficient support to deal with their difficult economic and social circumstances. They may therefore feel the need to cooperate with Kosovo institutions. Although the Kosovo government and ICO have had modest successes in holding elections in some Serb enclaves, the situation may be complicated by tensions between the leaders of municipalities elected in the Kosovo elections and parallel municipal governments loyal to Belgrade, which remain in existence.

In contrast to at least some Serbs in the enclaves, Serbian leaders in Serb-majority areas in northern Kosovo feel that they can do without cooperation with Kosovo authorities, as they can count on continued support from neighboring Serbia. The ICO and the Kosovo government

⁹ Republic of Kosovo, Central Election Committee, Preliminary Mayoral Results 2009.

tentatively planned to hold municipal election in Serbian-dominated northern Mitrovica in May, as well as in another municipality, Partes. However, given Serbia's grip on the region and its open hostility to the plan to extend Kosovo government institutions there, the vote in Mitrovica has been postponed indefinitely. Serbia is planning its own local elections in Mitrovica for May 2010.

Partition of Kosovo?

Some observers have called for Kosovo to be formally partitioned, part of it joining Serbia (most likely those regions of northern Kosovo already under its de facto control) and the rest an independent Kosovo. Serbia has not publicly called for partition yet, as it claims that all of Kosovo belongs to it, but observers say that Belgrade may be preparing the ground for such proposal. In April 2010, Dragoljub Micunovic, chairman of the Serbian parliament's Foreign Policy Committee, said that partition is an option that could be considered after the ICJ issues its ruling.

The Kosovo government strongly opposes any partition. For it to change its views, Kosovars would have to conclude that Kosovo has no real chance of extending its control over the north, and that it could gain something valuable in exchange for giving up its claims there. Presumably, this would have to include diplomatic recognition from Serbia, or some other way of ending the Kosovar-Serbian diplomatic "war" that would allow Kosovo to join the U.N. and ease its cooperation with the EU and its neighbors. Kosovars might also seek the cession to Kosovo of ethnic Albanian-majority areas of southern Serbia. In February 2010, Kosovo parliament speaker Jakup Krasniqi called for just such an exchange of territory.

The United States and most EU countries also oppose partition. A key reason for their opposition is that they fear it could revive other efforts to redraw borders in the Balkans, such as in Bosnia and Macedonia. However, even if de jure partition is unlikely in the near future, Serbia will try to continue to strengthen its control of areas of Serb-majority regions, creating an indefinite, de facto partition. Some observers have warned that Kosovo is a "frozen conflict" in the making. The term was coined to describe territorial conflicts, mainly in the former Soviet Union, where violence has stopped or is sporadic, but little or no movement toward a negotiated resolution has occurred for many years.

Kosovo's Other Challenges

Kosovo faces daunting challenges as an independent state in addition to those posed by its struggle for international recognition and the status of its ethnic minorities. Kosovo suffers from the same problems as other countries in the region, but is in some respects worse off than many of them. Kosovo's problems are especially severe as it has had little recent experience in self-rule, having been controlled by Serbia in the 1990s and by the international community from 1999 until 2008. According to a October 2009 European Commission report on Kosovo, the country suffers from weak institutions, including the judiciary and law enforcement. Kosovo has high levels of government corruption and powerful organized crime networks.¹⁰

¹⁰ Kosovo (Under UNSCR 1244/999) Progress Report, from the European Commission website at http://ec.europa.eu/enlargement/pdf/press_corner/key-documents/reports_nov_2008/kosovo_progress_report_en.pdf

The November 2009 local elections, the first held since the country's independence and the first administered by Kosovo's own election authorities, presented a mixed picture of Kosovo's democratic development. The elections were monitored by the European Network of Election Monitoring Organizations (ENEMO), a coalition of civic groups from Central and Eastern Europe and Central Asia. In its preliminary assessment, the monitors found that the elections "met many of the international standards for elections," but noted isolated problems of misconduct and the need for improvement in such areas as the accuracy of voter rolls.¹¹ Democracy in Action, a group of election observers from local NGOs, offered a similar evaluation. U.S. Ambassador to Kosovo Christopher Dell said that Kosovo and its citizens can be "very proud" about the conduct of the elections, which he said "demonstrated to the world that an independent Kosovo is a place where democracy can and does flourish."

However, journalists from the Balkan Investigative Reporting Network said they had witnessed a few serious incidents of fraud and received reports of others, including tampering with ballots, repeat voting with fraudulent credentials, and intimidation of some voters and observers.¹² Kosovo's Central Election Commission (CEC) decided to repeat elections in Prizren, Lipjan, and Gjilan, due to reports of widespread irregularities in the second round of voting on December 13. The United States hailed the CEC's decisions.

Poverty, unemployment, and a lack of economic opportunity are serious problems in Kosovo. About 45% of Kosovo's population is poor, according to the World Bank, with an income level of 43 Euro per month or less. About 15% of the population is very poor, and has trouble meeting its basic nutritional needs. Poverty is particularly severe in rural areas and among Roma and other ethnic minorities. Unemployment in Kosovo in first half of 2009 was estimated at 40%, according to the European Commission's October 2009 report on Kosovo. Small and inefficient farms are the largest employers in Kosovo. The country has little large-scale industry and few exports. However, Kosovo does have significant deposits of metals and lignite, which could lead to a revival of the mining sector. Kosovo has to improve its investment climate in order to stimulate growth and attract foreign investment, according to the European Commission and World Bank.

Since 1999, Kosovo has been heavily dependent on international aid and expenditures by international staff in Kosovo. These sources of income have declined. Kosovo is also dependent on remittances from the large number of Kosovars abroad. Each accounts for about 15% of Gross Domestic Product, according to the 2009 CIA World Factbook. The global financial crisis has had a negative effect on remittances and exports, according to the World Bank.

The European Commission hosted an international aid donors' conference for Kosovo on July 11, 2008. The donors pledged a total of 1.2 billion Euro (\$1.9 billion) for the period 2009-2011. The EU pledged 508 million Euro (about \$812 million), while EU member states pledged another 285 million Euro (\$455 million). The United States pledged \$402.9 million, which included some money already appropriated as well as the Bush Administration's FY2009 request. The international aid will go toward improving Kosovo's infrastructure links toward the rest of the region, improving Kosovo's educational system, developing Kosovo's democratic institutions, and funding for debt obligations that Kosovo may inherit.¹³ Donor governments have raised

¹¹ ENEMO's preliminary statement can be found at http://www.enemo.eu/press/Preliminary_Statement_first_round_ENG.pdf.

¹² Lawrence Marzouk, "Violent Incidents Take Luster Off of Historic Kosovo Poll," November 19, 2009, from the Balkan Insight website, <http://www.balkaninsight.com>

¹³ Text of the donor conference press release, from the EU-World Bank website, <http://www.seerecon.org>.

concerns about whether Kosovo can effectively absorb this aid, given the inefficiency of its governing institutions and a substantial problem with corruption.

Kosovar leaders criticized a July 2009 decision by the EU to permit visa-free travel to the EU for Serbia and Macedonia as of January 2010, while continuing to require visas for Kosovo (as well as Albania and Bosnia). In addition to the practical inconveniences involved, Kosovars may view the decision as a blow to the prestige of their country. Moreover, the country's European integration may be hindered if Kosovars, particularly young people, find it difficult to travel to the EU and see how the EU functions at first hand. Despite this concern, the lack of unanimity on Kosovo's status within the EU may remain a serious obstacle to the resolution of this problem.

U.S. Policy

The United States played a key role since 2005 in pushing for a solution to the issue of Kosovo's status—that is, whether it should become independent or stay part of Serbia. The United States recognized Kosovo's independence on February 18, 2008, one of the first countries to do so. The United States has urged other countries to extend diplomatic recognition to Kosovo, with mixed success.

Kosovo President Fatmir Sejdiu and Prime Minister Hashim Thaci visited Washington in July 2008. After meeting with the two leaders on July 21, President Bush vowed continued U.S. support for Kosovo, including by promoting Kosovo's international recognition and membership in international organizations. He praised Kosovo for its implementation of the Ahtisaari plan and support for minority rights. He expressed opposition to any partition of Kosovo. The leaders also discussed the importance of improving Kosovo's economy and education. The issue of education is especially important, given that Kosovo has Europe's youngest population, with half the population under 25 years old. President Bush expressed support for Kosovo's "transatlantic aspirations." In December 2008, President Bush announced that Kosovo had been included under the Generalized System of Preferences, a program that cuts U.S. tariffs for many imports from poor countries.

On February 26, 2009, Secretary of State Hillary Clinton met with President Sejdiu and Prime Minister Thaci. Secretary Clinton noted that Kosovo has enjoyed bipartisan support in the United States. She praised the "calm and reasoned" approach that Kosovo taken to challenges to its territorial integrity and in its relations with Serbia. She said that Kosovo is "evolving into a multi-ethnic democracy," and pledged continued U.S. aid to help Kosovo meet the challenges facing it.¹⁴

Vice President Joseph Biden visited Kosovo on May 21, 2009, after stops in Bosnia and Serbia the previous two days. He received a hero's welcome in Kosovo, where he declared that the "success of an independent Kosovo" is a U.S. "priority." He offered U.S. support to Kosovo in dealing with its many challenges, including building effective institutions, fighting organized crime and corruption, and improving ties with ethnic minorities. He said he stressed to Serbian leaders the United States' own strong support for an independent Kosovo and urged them to cooperate with Kosovo institutions and EULEX instead of setting up separate institutions for

¹⁴ "Secretary Clinton Congratulates Kosovo's Progress in its Historic First Year as an Independent State," from the State Department website, <http://www.state.gov/secretary/rm/2009a/02/119845.htm>.

Kosovo Serbs.¹⁵ On the other hand, when he was in Belgrade, Biden told Serbia's leaders that he did not expect them to recognize Kosovo's independence in order to have improved relations with the United States. Vice President Biden also visited U.S. troops in Kosovo at the main U.S. base at Camp Bondsteel.

On April 8, Deputy Secretary of State James Steinberg visited Kosovo. He told Kosovar leaders that the United States would continue to work with them to fight corruption and promote economic growth in Kosovo. He called on Serbia and Kosovo to hold talks on practical issues such as customs, security, and fighting organized crime. Steinberg also visited the Serbian enclave at Gracanica, where he discussed the situation there with local Serbs.

Congressional Concerns

After the end of the Kosovo war in 1999, the issue of Kosovo's status was of significant interest to Members of Congress. Some Members favored independence for Kosovo as soon as possible. They said Kosovars should enjoy the same right of self-determination enjoyed by other peoples in the region and throughout the world. Other Members were skeptical. They were concerned about the Kosovo government's shortcomings on minority rights and other issues and about the impact Kosovo's independence could have on Serbia's democracy and regional stability. Several draft resolutions on the issue of Kosovo's independence were submitted (including in the 110th Congress), with some in favor and others opposed. None of them were adopted.

After U.S. recognition of Kosovo's independence in February 2008, Congressional action on Kosovo has focused largely on foreign aid appropriations legislation. According to the FY2011 Congressional Budget Presentation for Foreign Operations, Kosovo received an estimated \$123 million in U.S. aid in FY2009. This amount includes \$120.1 million in the Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia (AEECA) account to support political and economic reform. In FY2009, Kosovo also received \$1.5 million in Foreign Military Financing (FMF), \$0.638 million in IMET military training funds to help build up the new Kosovo Security Force (KSF), and \$0.795 million in aid in the Nonproliferation, Antiterrorism, Demining and Related Programs (NADR) account.

The FY2010 State Department-Foreign Operations appropriations language is contained in Division F of P.L. 111-117, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010. The conference report accompanying the measure recommended \$95 million in aid for political and economic reform for Kosovo from the Assistance for Europe, Eurasia, and Central Asia account. According to the FY2011 Congressional Budget Justification, in FY2010 Kosovo is slated to receive \$99.27 million in U.S. aid. This includes \$95 million in aid in the AEECA account, \$2.5 million in FMF, \$0.7 million in IMET, and \$1.07 million in NADR. The President has requested \$85.45 million in aid for Kosovo in FY2011. This includes \$79 million in AEECA aid, \$5 million in FMF, \$0.7 million in IMET, and \$0.75 in NADR aid.

U.S. aid programs include efforts to support the Kosovo Police Service and strengthen the judicial system and local government in Kosovo. Technical assistance is also used to build the capacity of Kosovo's government, parliament, and the financial sustainability of Kosovo's electricity sector.

¹⁵ A text of Vice President Biden's speech to the Assembly of Kosovo can be found at the White House website at http://www.whitehouse.gov/the_press_office/Remarks-By-The-Vice-President-To-The-Assembly-Of-Kosovo/

U.S aid also assists Kosovo in securing access to clean drinking water for its population and in building new schools.

Author Contact Information

Steven Woehrel
Specialist in European Affairs
swoehrel@crs.loc.gov, 7-2291